

## **Probation Services Snapshot Study Counties Meeting Friday, July 7, 2000**

**Attendees:** Hon. Patricia Bamattre-Manoukian (Chair), Mr. Alan Schuman (Consultant), Ms. Pam Carozza (Administrator), Mr. Larry Price (Chief Probation Officer), Mr. Michael Weinberg (court Administrator), Mr. Tina Burkhart (Court Exe.), Ms. Linda Shelton (Chief Probation Officer), Mr. John A. Clarke (Court Exe. Officer), Mr. David M. Davies (Chief, Adult Field Svcs), Ms. Virginia Snapp (Chief, Juv. Field Services), Ms. Wendy Watanabe (County Admin.), Mr. Carl Depietro (County Admin.), Hon. Larry D. Gaddis (Presiding Judge), Hon. Frances Kearney (Presiding Judge, Juv Court), Mr. John Mendes (Court Exe. Officer), Ms. Norma Suzuki (Chief Probation Officer), Mr. Glenn Arima (Chief Deputy, Juvenile Probation), Ms. Kathy Cordova (Administration), Ms. Alice Foster (County Admin.), Hon. Jack Komar (Presiding Judge), Ms. Sally Chastain Logothetti (Program Analyst II), Mr. Gary Sanchez (Chief Deputy Prob.), Ms. Carol Girvetz (County Admin.), Mr. John Rhoads (Chief Probation Officer), Mr. Dennis Handis (Exe. Director), Ms. Elizabeth Howard (CSAC Rep.), Mr. Rubin Lopez (CSAC Rep.)

**Staff:** Ms. Jennifer Walter, Mr. Richard Schauffler, Ms. June Clark, Ms. Audrey Evje, Ms. Diane Nunn, Mr. Dennis Jones

### **Introduction (Hon. Patricia Bamattre-Manoukian)**

- Introductory remarks regarding importance of task force. Welcomed everyone on behalf of Bill Vickery, Chief. Report due back in 1yr.
- Introductions, around the table

### **Composition of Task Force**

- Slides shown
- Purpose
- Charge
- History presented by Rubin Lopez
  - Efforts to resolve issues among cts, prob, existed before 1997 act separating probation from cos.
  - 1. Resp. of probation
  - 2. Financial burdens on probation

CSAC, CTS, Admin examine how they should relate financially tension didn't know how to resolve.

TCBA meetings of leadership Cts ops, funding, split nagging issue; improvement of chief all but 6 appointed by court admin. of office financially is with co-examiner of rel. & level of service

- Leadership decided
  - 1. responsible way to resolve these issues
  - 2. est. task force
  - 3. info to start their work noms. By mid- July.
- Chair
  - Task force effort activated by legis pending introduced June
- June
  - 2 bills last year, Rubin clarified w/ Dennis confirming legis pending 10yrs. Back legislature is been on seeing the outcome
- CSAC perspective
  - AB 1303

- Before TCBA no need cos pd for CT-OP costs w/ TCBA should have caused us to examine who should be responsible for prob. So intro 1303
- If we have financial responsibility then should wecos. Have counties appmt.
  
- Judge Frances Kearney  
ICWA ½ day training
  
- Chair
  - Slide on broad issues
  - Questions whether CSAC or others will be raising new bills?
  - Rubin & June agree JC & CSAC won't but
- Dennis
  - Line staff advocating elective position for chief . AB 1519 (assume public Safety)
- Chair – timeline
  - nominations by 7/15
  - appnts by 7/29 – 30<sup>th</sup>
  - 1<sup>st</sup> meeting – Sept. 29<sup>th</sup>
  - 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting – Oct 26<sup>th</sup> & 27<sup>th</sup> for 2 days
  - meeting monthly or every 2 months
  - Recs back – 8/2001
  - Legis, JC, CSAC etc.
  - Chair 6 cos.
- Diane
  - Explained reasons behind choice geographic, urban, rural
  - Reason for Task Force recent legislation opp. To examine even we can collaborate to better serve children & families
  - Fam/Juv committee – includes Chief Probation Officers goal to make rel. between ct & probation the best it can be
- Dennis
  - Time is now for serious study critical need for discussion legislators (1&2) who want it to be state run.
- Chair
  - appreciate your participation hope to receive back from / consultant the work of 6 cos. Plus national paper introduces AL Schuman
- AL
  - totally based on the field come in with prejudice that probation is the fundamental part of correctional system.
  - Getting short and of it nationally hasn't been adequately funded challenging but doable if it have cooperation of everyone here.
  - New's counties as per handout cannot do it without your help dates & advance materials description no analysis or evaluations opp to show what each county does really well and give to task force for modeling
  - 6 county description will be based on 17 different areas. The national report will mirror those areas and indicable national models with resources to draw upon.
- Dennis
  - Will reports tie back to questions of what appointment is appropriate.
- Al Depietro
  - no political questions left to task force.
- John Rhoads
  - how do you treat l & e vs. service oriented?
- Al
  - ? & objectives of dept. will reveal this & what services follow
- Chair
  - Co reports to task force & cos at sametime

- AL requests bullet form answers in advance get the rest during interviews
- Gary Sanchez: Who should pick the prosecutors?
- Chair: pick s.o. who has a long history & overview & helpful in describing the services. Expect varying views want solid overview
- AL: exvuted b.c opp for more services, more coord., more effective / efficient requires stakeholders to be involved
- Glenn: no C.A.O. so get B.O.S
- Group Consensus: 1 hr – agency interviews, 1 hr – county interviews
- John Rhoads: 50% of work – detention need & look @ detail
- Chair: Size of probation including part of detention , budget of probation including part of detention
- Carl depieto: incorporate this info in broad brush
- Virginia Snapp: Budget would ordinarily give you this
- What will be lost is alts. To detention practices
- Diane & Dennis: Survey respectfully statewide
- Chair: include detention issues designate person(s) to receive reports. Designate person/contact re: accuracy
- Schedule
  - Santa Clara: 17<sup>th</sup> – 19<sup>th</sup> Follow-up w/Tom Edwards
  - Santa Cruz: 20<sup>th</sup> & 21<sup>st</sup>
  - Los Angeles: 7/31 & Aug. 1<sup>st</sup> – 3<sup>rd</sup>
  - Glenn: 8/14
  - Placer: 15<sup>th</sup> & 16<sup>th</sup>
  - Fresno: 17<sup>th</sup> & 18<sup>th</sup>
- Chair: Reports: draft to designated co. representation to make substantive change only for accuracy return drafts to AOC AL to finalize draft
- AL: interview questions I.D. include post & pre-adjudication VI. H. add “integrater of probation & social services” VII. A. add detention budget line items
  - Discussion: program vs. function vs. line item last 5 years budgets
  - Discussion regarding Rubins question
- Chair: AL to recommend task force look at loss of budget and capturing new budget for services and what is mandated
- Rubin: what services are manidated? Are provided? What budget is paying for it? Important task force examine this question
- Dennis: TANF budget for quality services which aren’t mandated, but are provided should we be providing an array of california probation services
- Pam Corozza: Ask are new programs mandated?
- Dennis: req’d vs. provided? All data re: all services
- Chair conclusion: leave for TF to address Rubin’s question. TF will likely direct for more information IX. Specialized court services D. how are these funded
- Concern: “privatization” group discussion that privatization of community – based. Organization providing services eg. Drug treatment vs. privatization for profit/nonprofit operating of detention facility
- AL: dosen’t differentiate
- XIV: Partnership w/Judiciary add “ what service does probation provide to the judiciary?”
- Add partnerships with other county collaboration departments drug & alcohol social services
- Chair: Task Force issue full array of services budget & selection of Chief process: consenus but cannot promise
- Dennis: another meeting of snapshot counties